REVITALIZING TAP: A COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO ENHANCING ENGLISH EDUCATION ASSESSMENT AT UNIVERSITAS TERBUKA

Benny Nugraha, Hidayah
English Education, Universitas Terbuka, Tangerang Selatan, Banten
bnugraha@ecampus.ut.ac.id, hidayah77@ecampus.ut.ac.id

Abstract: The article explores the transformation of the Final Program Examination (Tugas Akhir Program or TAP) at Universitas Terbuka, focusing on aligning with modern educational demands. The research develops a TAP rubric, incorporating Outcome-Based Education principles, curriculum development theories, assessment theories, technology integration, teacher professional development, innovation in education, and diversity and inclusion considerations. Results emphasize the need for a comprehensive assessment tool that evaluates linguistic proficiency, 21st-century skills, and cultural competency. The discussion underscores the importance of technology integration, continuous teacher professional development, and formative assessment for personalized learning. The findings contribute to broader discussions on evolving education, emphasizing adaptability, innovation, and a holistic student development approach. The conclusion highlights a strategic redesign prioritizing learning outcomes alignment, task authenticity, and multidimensional criteria. The new rubric balances academic rigor and practical demands, ensuring graduates are well-rounded individuals prepared for the dynamic field of English education. The research positions Universitas Terbuka as a forward-thinking institution committed to providing relevant and high-quality education in English.

Keywords: assessment; English education program; faculty of education and teacher training; final program examination (TAP); outcome-based education (OBE); Universitas Terbuka (UT)

INTRODUCTION

The conclusive academic assignment, the Final Program Examination (Tugas Akhir Program or TAP), tailors essay questions for students concluding their bachelor's study program at Universitas Terbuka. This assessment, rooted in authentic English teaching practices in Indonesian secondary schools, serves to gauge students' application of acquired theories in real teaching scenarios, assessing competencies in knowledge, attitudes, and skills crucial for comprehensive teaching-learning challenges. The research objective is the development of a dynamic and responsive TAP rubric, aligning with various theoretical foundations to enhance educational relevance, evaluate appropriate

International Conference on Teaching and Learning Proceeding
Faculty of Education and Teacher Training – Universitas Terbuka
UTCC, South Tangerang, Banten, November 18th 2023
Vol. 1, pg. 262 - 267
ISSN: 3046-594X

competencies, optimize assessment effectiveness, elevate teacher education quality, and contribute to educational innovation.

The TAP rubric draws insights from several theoretical perspectives, including: (1) Outcome-Based Education (OBE): Guided by OBE principles (Biggs & Tang, 2007), the TAP rubric aligns with the English Education Program's intended learning outcomes at Universitas Terbuka. Emphasizing measurable outcomes, OBE ensures the assessment is purposeful and directly linked to overarching educational goals; (2) Curriculum Development: Integrating curriculum development theories (Anderson, Krathwohl, & Bloom, 2001) ensures the TAP rubric reflects the latest trends in English education. This adaptation involves considering changes in educational practices and incorporating innovative approaches, creating a dynamic assessment tool that reflects the current state of the field; (3) Assessment: Infused with assessment theory, particularly formative assessment principles (Popham, W. J., 2009), the TAP rubric becomes a tool for ongoing improvement. It facilitates a deeper understanding of students' progress and areas for development, going beyond a mere summative judgment; (4) Technology Integration in Education: Informed by technology integration theories (Gee, 2003), the TAP rubric incorporates digital tools, online platforms, and multimedia elements. This approach ensures graduates are proficient not only in traditional language skills but also adept at leveraging technology for effective communication and collaboration; (5) Teacher Professional Development: Influenced by teacher professional development theories (Darling-Hammond & McLaughlin, 1995; Ingersoll, R. M., & Strong, M., 2011), the TAP rubric becomes a tool for assessing students while enhancing educators' skills. Continuous training ensures teachers are well-prepared to administer and evaluate the TAP using the latest methodologies and technologies; (6) Innovation in Education: Driven by theories of educational innovation (Christensen, C. M., Horn, M. B., & Johnson, C. W., 2008; Rogers, E. M., 2003), the TAP rubric aims to foster creativity and novel approaches. It becomes a catalyst for transforming educational practices, preparing graduates to be adaptable and innovative in teaching and learning; (7) Diversity and Inclusion in Education: Shaped by diversity and inclusion theories, the TAP rubric acknowledges and values the individual strengths and backgrounds of students. This inclusive approach contributes to a more equitable educational environment, preparing graduates for a diverse and interconnected world. By synthesizing these theoretical foundations, the research ensures the TAP rubric is not a static tool but a dynamic, responsive, and inclusive mechanism. This comprehensive framework goes beyond traditional assessment methods, equipping both students and educators for success in the rapidly evolving landscape of English education.

The study aims to address the following research questions:
1. In what ways can the TAP at Universitas Terbuka be redefined to better align with the current needs of students and meet the demands of the modern world?
2. What specific components define the latest TAP rubric, ensuring a seamless integration of outcome-based curriculum principles within the context of English education in secondary schools?

International Conference on Teaching and Learning Proceeding
Faculty of Education and Teacher Training – Universitas Terbuka
UTCC, South Tangerang, Banten, November 18th 2023
Vol. 1, pg. xx - xx
ISSN: 3046-594X

METHOD

To achieve the objectives outlined in the introduction, the research employed a systematic and multi-faceted methodology, encompassing the development and refinement of the TAP rubric. The process involved several key stages:

1. Literature Review: A literature review was conducted to explore existing research, theories, and best practices related to Outcome-Based Education (OBE), curriculum development, assessment, technology integration, teacher professional development, innovation in education, and diversity and inclusion. This phase provided a foundation for the theoretical perspectives guiding the TAP rubric development.

2. Theoretical Framework Construction: Based on the literature review, a theoretical framework was constructed, integrating insights from OBE, curriculum development, assessment theory, technology integration, teacher professional development, innovation theories, and diversity and inclusion. This framework served as the guiding structure for the TAP rubric, ensuring a comprehensive and aligned approach.

3. Expert Consultation: To enhance the robustness of the theoretical framework and its application in the TAP rubric, consultations were conducted with experts in the fields of education, language assessment, and technology integration. Feedback from these experts informed adjustments and refinements to ensure the practicality and effectiveness of the rubric.

4. Rubric Development: The TAP rubric was systematically developed based on the theoretical framework and expert input. Careful attention was given to aligning the rubric with the intended learning outcomes of the English Education Program at Universitas Terbuka, as well as addressing the modern needs of students and the demands of the contemporary world.

5. Pilot Testing: A pilot test of the TAP rubric was conducted with a sample group of students undergoing the final program examination. The purpose of the pilot test was to identify any practical challenges, gather feedback on the clarity and relevance of the rubric, and make necessary adjustments before full implementation.

6. Data Collection: The study involved the collection of qualitative and quantitative data from students, educators, and other stakeholders involved in the TAP process. Surveys, interviews, and performance data were analyzed to assess the effectiveness of the rubric in evaluating competencies and aligning with educational goals.

7. Analysis and Refinement: The collected data were subjected to rigorous analysis to identify patterns, strengths, and areas for improvement. The TAP rubric was refined iteratively based on the analysis, aiming to enhance its precision, relevance, and adaptability to diverse educational contexts.

8. Documentation and Reporting: The entire process, including the development, testing, and refinement of the TAP rubric, was thoroughly documented. The research findings, insights gained from the methodology, and implications for future research and practice were reported in a comprehensive manner.

This methodological approach ensured a systematic and theoretically grounded development of the TAP rubric, aligning it with modern educational demands and addressing the specific needs of students in the context of English education at Universitas Terbuka.

International Conference on Teaching and Learning Proceeding
Faculty of Education and Teacher Training – Universitas Terbuka
UTCC, South Tangerang, Banten, November 18th 2023
Vol. 1, pg. 262 - 267
ISSN: 3046-594X

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Reformulating the Final Program Examination (Tugas Akhir Program or TAP) at Universitas Terbuka necessitates a holistic approach, considering pedagogical, technological, and contextual factors. The content should reflect the latest developments in English education, incorporating contemporary methodologies, language acquisition theories, and educational research. The TAP rubric should assess linguistic proficiency and 21st-century skills, leveraging technology for interactive assessments and embracing formative assessment for personalized support. Teacher professional development is integral, ensuring a fair assessment process. Key components of the TAP rubric include learning outcomes alignment, task authenticity, language proficiency levels, multidimensional criteria, cultural competency, critical thinking, digital literacy, feedback mechanisms, soft skills assessment, and scoring consistency guidelines.

The results of the research on reformulating the TAP at Universitas Terbuka highlight several crucial aspects that warrant discussion and have far-reaching implications for the improvement of English education, teacher preparation, and overall academic program quality.

Alignment with Current Educational Demands: The call to reformulate the TAP to align with present needs reflects an awareness of the evolving demands on English education. Integrating the latest outcome-based curriculum principles ensures that the assessment remains responsive to contemporary educational trends. This alignment is essential for graduates to be well-prepared for the dynamic challenges in the field of English education.

Comprehensive Competency Assessment: The emphasis on multidimensional criteria within the TAP rubric addresses the need for a comprehensive assessment of students' competencies. Beyond linguistic proficiency, the research advocates for evaluating critical thinking, problem-solving skills, digital literacy, and soft skills. This holistic approach aligns with the broader goals of education, preparing students for success in a diverse and interconnected world.

Technology Integration and Innovation: The acknowledgment of technology's impact on education and the recommendation to integrate it into the TAP reflect a forward-looking perspective. Embracing technology in assessment methods fosters innovation in teaching and learning, aligning with modern educational practices and equipping students with digital skills essential for the contemporary workforce.

Continuous Teacher Professional Development: The research underscores the importance of ongoing professional development for educators involved in administering and evaluating the TAP. This commitment signals a dedication to maintaining a high standard of assessment. Continuous training ensures that teachers adapt to changes in assessment methodologies and remain effective facilitators of student learning.

Formative Assessment for Personalized Learning: The integration of formative assessment in the TAP contributes to a more personalized learning experience for

students. Continuous feedback throughout the learning process allows for targeted support, enabling students to understand their strengths and areas for improvement. This student-centered approach enhances the educational journey.

Cultural Competency and Inclusion: The explicit inclusion of criteria assessing cultural competency aligns with the global nature of communication. Recognizing diverse linguistic and cultural contexts ensures that graduates are not only proficient in English but also culturally aware, fostering inclusivity and preparing students for effective communication in diverse settings.

21st-Century Skills Development: The emphasis on assessing 21st-century skills such as critical thinking, collaboration, and communication is a progressive move. Graduates equipped with these skills are better positioned to navigate complex professional environments, aligning with the broader goal of education to prepare students for success beyond academic settings.

Scoring Consistency Guidelines: The inclusion of clear guidelines for scoring consistency addresses the issue of reliability in assessment. Consistent scoring ensures fairness and objectivity, upholding the integrity of the evaluation process. This commitment to scoring reliability is crucial for maintaining the trust and credibility of the academic program.

Contribution to Educational Innovation: The emphasis on fostering innovation in education through the redesigned TAP contributes to a broader discourse on educational practices. By encouraging changes in teaching and assessment approaches, the research advocates for a dynamic and responsive educational system, with the potential to influence educational methodologies beyond the English Education Program.

Balancing Academic Rigor and Practical Demands: The holistic perspective in reformulating the TAP reflects a conscious effort to strike a balance between academic rigor and the practical demands of the contemporary world. This equilibrium ensures that the assessment tool not only evaluates language skills but also contributes to the development of well-rounded individuals capable of thriving in diverse and dynamic environments.

CONCLUSION

The systematic reformulation of the Final Program Examination (Tugas Akhir Program or TAP) at Universitas Terbuka represents a strategic response to the contemporary needs of students and the dynamic challenges of the modern world. This comprehensive redesign, encapsulated in the carefully crafted TAP rubric, addresses critical components such as learning outcomes alignment, task authenticity, language proficiency levels, multidimensional criteria, cultural competency, critical thinking, digital literacy, feedback mechanisms, soft skills assessment, and scoring consistency guidelines. Through this research, we not only meet the immediate objectives of enhancing the TAP but also contribute to the broader landscape of educational innovation. By grounding our work in outcome-based curriculum principles, embracing technology, and promoting continuous teacher professional development, we provide a robust scientific foundation for advancing English education at Universitas Terbuka and beyond. Our findings

International Conference on Teaching and Learning Proceeding
Faculty of Education and Teacher Training – Universitas Terbuka
UTCC, South Tangerang, Banten, November 18th 2023
Vol. 1, pg. 262 - 267
ISSN: 3046-594X

underscore the importance of adaptability, innovation, and a holistic approach to student development in preparing graduates for success in diverse and interconnected environments. This research offers valuable insights into the evolving nature of education, positioning Universitas Terbuka as a forward-thinking institution committed to providing a relevant and high-quality English education. As a logical extension, future research endeavors could explore the practical applications and potential extensions of the TAP rubric in various educational settings, ensuring a continued contribution to the advancement of pedagogical practices and student outcomes.

REFERENCES

Books

Anderson, L. W., Krathwohl, D. R., & Bloom, B. S. (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives.* Allyn & Bacon.

Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2007). *Teaching for Quality Learning at University.* McGraw-Hill Education.

Christensen, C. M., Horn, M. B., & Johnson, C. W. (2008). *Disrupting class: How disruptive innovation will change the way the world learns*. McGraw-Hill.

Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences.* Basic Books.

Gee, J. P. (2003). *What Video Games Have to Teach Us About Learning and Literacy.* Palgrave Macmillan.

Popham, W. J. (2009). *Assessment for Educational Leaders.* Pearson.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations.* New York, NY: Free Press.

Gardner, H. (1983). Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences. Basic Books.

Journal Article

Banks, J. A. (2008). Diversity, group identity, and citizenship education in a global age. Educational researcher, 37(3), 129-139.

Darling-Hammond, L., & McLaughlin, M. W. (1995). Policies that Support Professional Development in an Era of Reform. *Phi Delta Kappan, 76*(8), 597-604.

Ingersoll, R. M., & Strong, M. (2011). The Impact of Induction and Mentoring Programs for Beginning Teachers: A Critical Review of the Research. *Review of Educational Research, 81*(2), 201-233.

Nieto, S. (2000). Affirmation, solidarity, and critique: Moving beyond tolerance in multicultural education. Multicultural Perspectives, 2(3), 6-12.