

## **Integrating Deep Learning and Creativity in English Education: A Conceptual Framework for 21st-Century Classrooms**

**Alpino Susanto<sup>a\*</sup>, Sri Langgeng Ratnasari<sup>b</sup>, Albert Efendi Pohan<sup>c</sup>, Bherti Angelia<sup>d</sup>, Prudensia Mawar Hure<sup>e</sup>, Mubik Ihtajuddin Hammas<sup>f</sup>**

<sup>a\*-f</sup>Universitas Riau Kepulauan, Kota Batam, Propinsi Kepulauan Riau,

\*Correspondence: [susanto.alpino40@gmail.com](mailto:susanto.alpino40@gmail.com)

### **Abstract**

The growing presence of artificial intelligence (AI) in education has reshaped how English language learning is conceptualized and enacted. Among AI-driven innovations, deep learning has emerged not only as a computational model but also as a pedagogical orientation capable of supporting deeper engagement and creative language use. This conceptual study examines how deep learning can be leveraged to foster creativity in English language education, with particular reference to primary and secondary EFL contexts in Indonesia, where a deep learning-oriented approach has been incorporated into the national education agenda. Using a systematic conceptual review, the study synthesizes interdisciplinary literature on deep learning and AI in education, TESOL pedagogy, creativity in language learning, and learning science, alongside relevant policy documents. The analysis yields three interrelated insights: creativity as a core process in English language learning; the pedagogical affordances of AI-powered deep learning for linguistic experimentation and reflective revision; and an integrative framework of mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning. By reframing deep learning as a pedagogical resource rather than a technical tool, this study offers a theoretically grounded framework for creativity-oriented English language instruction and outlines implications for curriculum design and teacher practice.

### **Keywords:**

deep learning; AI in language education; creativity in EFL; meaningful learning

## **1. Introduction**

The field of English language education is currently situated within a period of sustained pedagogical reorientation shaped by technological innovation and evolving sociolinguistic demands. Rather than merely introducing new instructional tools, these developments have prompted a reconsideration of how language learning is conceptualized, mediated, and assessed in diverse educational contexts. Among the most influential of these developments is the increasing presence of Artificial Intelligence (AI), which has begun to inform both the design of learning environments and the nature of learner–language interaction. Within educational discourse, AI has contributed to the emergence of deep learning as a pedagogical and computational framework that models hierarchical meaning-making processes through multi-layered neural representations, mirroring key aspects of human language cognition (Janiesch et al., 2021; Putra, 2020). Its integration into natural language processing (NLP) has enabled instructional applications such as automated feedback, speech recognition, and adaptive language generation, all of which hold significant implications for English language teaching and learning (Diao & Hu, 2021; Susanto A, 2025). Importantly, these developments foreground a shift from surface-level language practice toward deeper forms of linguistic engagement, positioning learners as active meaning-makers and inviting TESOL researchers to reconsider

established assumptions about instruction, interaction, and learner agency in technology-mediated environments.

Within this global pedagogical shift, Indonesia provides a particularly salient context for examining the intersection of deep learning, creativity, and English language education. For the 2025/2026 academic year, the Ministry of Primary and Secondary Education formally introduced a deep learning-oriented approach into the national teaching and learning agenda as a strategic response to persistent literacy challenges across elementary and secondary education (Kompas, n.d.; SMAN1Nganjuk, 2025). As articulated by the Head of Curriculum and Learning at the Ministry, this initiative is intended not as a curricular replacement but as a complementary pedagogical orientation designed to strengthen literacy development and learner competence within existing frameworks, including Curriculum 2013 and the Merdeka Curriculum (Dasar, 2025; Tempo, 2025). From a TESOL perspective, this policy signals a growing recognition that improving English literacy outcomes requires more than curricular reform; it necessitates pedagogical models that promote deeper engagement with language, meaning, and communication in diverse learning contexts.

This policy direction also resonates strongly with broader 21st-century educational imperatives that foreground critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and communication as essential learning outcomes. Among these, creativity has assumed increasing prominence in English language education, not merely as an affective or aesthetic dimension but as a core cognitive and communicative competence. Contemporary scholarship conceptualizes creativity as encompassing divergent thinking, adaptability, and the capacity to construct meaning across social, cultural, and multimodal contexts (Richards, 2013; Susanto A, 2025; Susanto, 2024). In this view, creativity is less about producing novelty for its own sake than about reconfiguring familiar linguistic resources in purposeful and contextually meaningful ways (Mota Pereira, 2016; Stein-Smith, 2018). Accordingly, creativity in English language learning entails active exploration of linguistic forms and meanings, encouraging learners to express identities, perspectives, and intentions authentically across spoken, written, and multimodal discourse.

Despite these developments, a central challenge in contemporary TESOL lies in integrating advanced technologies—particularly deep learning into pedagogical frameworks that genuinely foster creativity rather than merely enhancing efficiency, automation, or accuracy (He, 2023; Lu & Xiong, 2023; Yi, 2023). Too often, AI-driven tools are adopted instrumentally, without sufficient consideration of their pedagogical implications for learner agency, meaning-making, and discourse development. When interpreted pedagogically rather than purely technically, however, deep learning aligns closely with core principles of meaningful learning, including critical reflection, conceptual interconnectedness, and the transfer of knowledge across contexts (Hattie & Donoghue, 2016). From this standpoint, deep learning offers TESOL educators a framework for rethinking how language learning can move beyond surface-level practice toward deeper cognitive and communicative engagement.

In English language education, creativity-oriented deep learning entails a shift away from predominantly structure-driven instruction toward a constructivist model that prioritizes meaning-making, interaction, and multimodal discourse across the four core language skills. Within such an approach, non-verbal modes, such as visual, auditory, and digital semiotic resources are treated as symbolic systems that function alongside language in the construction of meaning (Diao & Hu, 2021; Zhang & Chen, 2022). AI-supported deep learning environments further enable access to authentic input, adaptive feedback, and personalized learning pathways, allowing learners to engage with English in ways that reflect both individual needs and real-world communicative demands (Zhang & Chen, 2022). Importantly, these affordances position learners not as passive recipients of linguistic knowledge but as active designers of meaning within technology-mediated spaces.

Against this backdrop, the present study seeks to conceptually explore how deep learning can be leveraged to enhance creativity in English language education at the primary and secondary levels. Specifically, it aims to articulate pedagogical principles that bridge AI-driven technologies with TESOL goals that are humanistic, adaptive, and transformative. While deep learning is technically capable of processing complex linguistic data, such as syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic patterns, and is already embedded in tools like machine translation, automated writing evaluation, and speech recognition systems (Diao & Hu, 2021; Liu & Matthews, 2005), its educational significance extends far beyond technical performance. Its true pedagogical value lies in reshaping how teachers and learners produce, evaluate, and interpret English in ways that support holistic language development across verbal and non-verbal domains.

From an epistemological perspective, deep learning aligns with conceptions of learning as meaningful, reflective, and integrative, emphasizing critical analysis and the application of knowledge to real-life communicative situations (Hattie & Donoghue, 2016). When applied to English instruction, this orientation encourages learners to move beyond linguistic reproduction toward idea generation, personal expression, and discourse creation. Moreover, deep learning supported environments facilitate personalized learning through adaptive feedback, early detection of learning difficulties, and increased opportunities for autonomous, technology-enhanced engagement (Kuddus, 2022). Nevertheless, a significant gap remains between the technological potential of AI and its pedagogical realization in TESOL contexts, where innovation is frequently implemented without alignment to creativity-oriented and reflective teaching practices (Niu & Liu, 2022; Zhang & Chen, 2022).

Therefore, this study endeavors to bridge the technological and pedagogical dimensions of deep learning in English language education, advancing an instructional perspective that is not only efficient but also meaning-centered, creative, and learner-empowering. By synthesizing advances in AI with foundational TESOL values, this work contributes to ongoing discussions on how English instruction can cultivate creativity, active engagement, and 21st-century communicative competence in digitally mediated learning environments.

## 2. Methods

This study employs a systematic conceptual review to examine the pedagogical potential of deep learning in fostering creativity in English language education, particularly within primary and secondary EFL contexts in Indonesia. Rather than generating empirical data, the study is situated within a theory-building and interpretative research tradition, which is appropriate for clarifying emerging constructs and synthesizing interdisciplinary perspectives where empirical consensus remains limited (Gilson & Goldberg, 2015; Snyder, 2019).

The review draws on peer-reviewed international and national journal articles, educational policy documents, and foundational theoretical works related to deep learning and artificial intelligence in education, English language pedagogy and second language acquisition, creativity in language learning, and 21st-century learning competencies. Sources were selected based on their theoretical relevance, conceptual depth, and pedagogical contribution, with priority given to high-impact publications and authoritative policy statements relevant to English education reform.

The analysis followed an iterative and structured procedure. First, key constructs, such as deep learning, creativity, and meaningful language learning were mapped thematically across the literature to identify conceptual convergences and tensions. Second, a critical comparative analysis was conducted to examine how deep learning has been framed across technological, cognitive, and pedagogical domains, with particular attention to its implications for learner engagement, meaning-making, and creative language use in TESOL contexts. Third, relevant

policy documents were analyzed to situate these conceptual insights within contemporary curriculum and literacy agendas in Indonesia. Finally, the study synthesized these strands to articulate pedagogical principles aligned with meaningful, mindful, and joyful learning, drawing on learning science frameworks that emphasize deep understanding and knowledge transfer (Hattie & Donoghue, 2016).

Analytical rigor was ensured through theoretical triangulation across disciplines and transparent conceptual reasoning (Jabareen, 2009). While the study does not claim empirical generalizability, it aims for theoretical plausibility and pedagogical coherence, offering a conceptually grounded framework for understanding how AI-powered deep learning can support creative, adaptive, and humanistic English language learning.

### **3. Result and Discussion**

In line with conceptual research traditions, the *results* of this study are presented as theoretical syntheses and pedagogical insights derived from systematic analysis rather than empirical findings (Gilson & Goldberg, 2015; Jabareen, 2009). The discussion articulates how creativity in English language learning can be pedagogically fostered through deep learning approaches, particularly in Indonesian secondary education contexts. Three interrelated conceptual outcomes emerge: (1) creativity as a core process in English language learning, (2) the pedagogical affordances of deep learning for creative language use, and (3) an integrative framework of mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning.

#### **Creativity in English Language Learning**

The first synthesized outcome positions creativity as a central and process-oriented dimension of English language learning rather than a peripheral or talent-based attribute. Creativity is understood as learners' capacity to generate meaning, adapt linguistic resources, and express ideas purposefully across social, cultural, and academic contexts. Consistent with prior scholarship (Mota Pereira, 2016; Richards, 2013, 2017; Sternberg, 2018), creativity in English learning is operationalized through four dimensions; fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration, which together support learner agency, motivation, and identity construction. This synthesis underscores that creative language use involves reconfiguring familiar linguistic resources in meaningful ways, aligning closely with deep learning orientations that emphasize adaptability and meaning-making.

#### **Pedagogical Affordances of Deep Learning for Creativity**

The second conceptual outcome concerns the pedagogical role of deep learning in supporting creative English language use. The analysis indicates that AI-driven deep learning tools offer affordances for linguistic experimentation, stylistic exploration, and reflective revision when embedded within open-ended, inquiry-oriented tasks. Transformer-based language models and adaptive feedback systems function as pedagogical scaffolds, enabling learners to explore alternative expressions, genres, and rhetorical strategies while developing metacognitive awareness (Lesia et al., 2022; Sotomayor et al., 2023). Importantly, creativity is enhanced not through automation itself, but through intentional instructional design that positions AI as a co-constructive resource rather than a substitute for learner agency (Alasadi & Baiz, 2023).

#### **An Integrative Framework: Mindful, Meaningful, and Joyful Learning**

The third outcome is the formulation of an integrative pedagogical framework comprising mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning. Mindful learning foregrounds awareness

and reflection in language use; meaningful learning emphasizes contextual relevance and knowledge integration; and joyful learning addresses affective engagement and risk-taking. Synthesized from policy discourse and learning science literature (Dasar, 2025; Hattie & Donoghue, 2016; Susanto A, 2025), these dimensions operate synergistically to support holistic and creative English language learning. Within this framework, deep learning technologies function as enabling mediators that support cognitive depth, emotional engagement, and contextualized meaning-making.

To move beyond descriptive accounts of AI integration, this study advances a creativity-oriented deep learning model for English language education. The model foregrounds creativity as a pedagogical outcome that is dynamically produced through the interaction of deep learning technologies, learner agency, and three interrelated dimensions of instruction: mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning. By situating creativity at the intersection of pedagogy and technology, the model emphasizes the role of instructional design in mediating how AI-supported environments can foster meaningful and expressive language use.

**Table 1. Pedagogical Implications of Deep Learning for Creative ELT**

<b>Concept</b>	<b>Core Focus</b>	<b>Pedagogical Implication</b>
Creativity	Fluency, flexibility, originality, elaboration	Design open-ended, expressive language tasks
Deep learning	Adaptive and generative AI systems	Support experimentation and reflective revision
Mindful learning	Awareness and metacognition	Encourage reflective language use
Meaningful learning	Contextual relevance	Link tasks to real-life issues
Joyful learning	Emotional engagement	Foster safe, motivating learning environments

#### **4. Conclusion**

This conceptual inquiry has examined the pedagogical potential of deep learning to foster creativity in English language education, with particular attention to primary and secondary EFL contexts in Indonesia. Situated at the intersection of artificial intelligence, learning science, and TESOL pedagogy, the study responds to growing global and national imperatives to move beyond surface-level language instruction toward deeper, more meaningful forms of linguistic engagement. Rather than treating deep learning as a purely technical innovation, this work has reconceptualized it as a pedagogical orientation capable of supporting creativity, learner agency, and holistic language development when grounded in sound instructional design.

The synthesis of literature demonstrates that creativity in English language learning is best understood as a dynamic, process-oriented capacity involving meaning-making, adaptability, and purposeful expression across linguistic and multimodal domains. This conception aligns closely with deep learning principles that emphasize interconnected knowledge structures, reflection, and transfer across contexts. When pedagogically interpreted, AI-powered deep learning tools can serve as mediational resources that scaffold experimentation, support reflective revision, and expand learners' access to authentic communicative practices. Importantly, the findings underscore that creativity does not emerge from automation itself, but from intentional pedagogical choices that position learners as active designers of meaning and AI as a co-constructive partner in learning.

A central contribution of this study is the articulation of an integrative framework of mindful, meaningful, and joyful learning as a lens for creativity-oriented deep learning in

English education. This framework foregrounds awareness and metacognition (mindful learning), contextual relevance and conceptual integration (meaningful learning), and affective engagement and risk-taking (joyful learning). Together, these dimensions provide a coherent pedagogical foundation for aligning deep learning technologies with humanistic TESOL values. By situating creativity at the core of this interaction, the proposed model advances a principled alternative to instrumental uses of AI that prioritize efficiency over educational depth.

From a policy and curriculum perspective, the study offers timely insights for contexts such as Indonesia, where deep learning has been formally introduced as a national pedagogical orientation to address literacy challenges. The analysis suggests that the success of such initiatives depends not on technological adoption alone, but on the extent to which teachers are supported in translating deep learning principles into creativity-enhancing classroom practices. This has implications for curriculum design, teacher education, and professional development, highlighting the need to foreground pedagogical intentionality, reflective practice, and ethical engagement with AI in TESOL settings.

While this study is conceptual in nature and does not claim empirical generalizability, it provides a theoretically grounded framework that can inform future research and practice. Empirical studies are needed to investigate how creativity-oriented deep learning is enacted across diverse instructional contexts, how learners and teachers negotiate agency in AI-supported environments, and how creativity can be meaningfully assessed in technology-mediated English learning. Nevertheless, by bridging technological innovation with pedagogical purpose, this work contributes to ongoing TESOL scholarship on how English language education can remain adaptive, creative, and learner-centered in an increasingly AI-mediated world.

## Reference

- Alasadi, E. A., & Baiz, C. R. (2023). Generative AI in Education and Research: Opportunities, Concerns, and Solutions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 100(8). <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00323>
- Dasar, D. G. P. (2025). *Konsep dan Implementasi Deep Learning Oleh Robert Randall*. <https://gurudikdas.dikdasmn.go.id/news/konsep-dan-implementasi-deep-learning-oleh-robert-randall>
- Diao, L., & Hu, P. (2021). Deep learning and multimodal target recognition of complex and ambiguous words in automated English learning system. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 40(4). <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-189543>
- Gilson, L. L., & Goldberg, C. B. (2015). Editors' Comment: So, What Is a Conceptual Paper? In *Group and Organization Management* (Vol. 40, Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601115576425>
- Hattie, J. A. C., & Donoghue, G. M. (2016). Learning strategies: a synthesis and conceptual model. *Npj Science of Learning*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/npjscilearn.2016.13>
- He, Y. (2023). Research on English Reading Teaching in High Schools from the Perspective of Deep Learning. *Frontiers in Sustainable Development*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.54691/fsd.v3i3.4540>
- Jabareen, Y. (2009). Building a Conceptual Framework: Philosophy, Definitions, and Procedure. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690900800406>

- Janiesch, C., Zschech, P., & Heinrich, K. (2021). Machine learning and deep learning. *Electronic Markets*, 31(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-021-00475-2>
- Kompas. (n.d.). "Deep Learning" Terus Dikaji Sebelum Diterapkan ke Murid. *Kompas*. [https://www.kompas.id/artikel/deep-learning-terus-dikaji-sebelum-diterapkan-ke-murid?utm\\_source=chatgpt.com](https://www.kompas.id/artikel/deep-learning-terus-dikaji-sebelum-diterapkan-ke-murid?utm_source=chatgpt.com)
- Kuddus, K. (2022). Artificial intelligence in language learning: Practices and prospects. In *Advanced Analytics and Deep Learning Models*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119792437.ch1>
- Lesia, V., Kushmar, A. O., Vornachev, Iryna Oleksandrivna, K., & Nadia, Oleksandrivna, K. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Language Learning: What Are We Afraid of. *Arab World English Journal*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/call8.18>
- Liu, C. H., & Matthews, R. (2005). Vygotsky's philosophy: Constructivism and its criticisms examined. In *International Education Journal* (Vol. 6, Issue 3).
- Lu, Y., & Xiong, T. (2023). The attitudes of high school students and teachers toward mobile apps for learning English: A Q methodology study. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100555>
- Mota Pereira, F. (2016). Creativity in the English Language Classroom. *ELT Journal*, 70(3). <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccw040>
- Niu, J., & Liu, Y. (2022). Analysis of Cross-Cultural Communication in English Subjects and the Realization of Deep Learning Teaching. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4620738>
- Putra, J. W. G. (2020). Pengenalan Konsep Pembelajaran Mesin dan Deep Learning. *Computational Linguistics and Natural Language Processing Laboratory*, 4.
- Richards, J. C. (2013). Creativity in language teaching. *Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research*, 1(3). <https://doi.org/10.46568/pjass.v13i2.600>
- Richards, J. C. (2017). Teaching English through English: Proficiency, Pedagogy and Performance. *RELC Journal*, 48(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688217690059>
- SMAN1Nganjuk. (2025). *Deep Learning yang Diterapkan Tahun Ajaran 2025-2026*. [https://sman1berbekngk.sch.id/deep-learning-yang-diterapkan-tahun-ajaran-2025-2026/?utm\\_source=chatgpt.com](https://sman1berbekngk.sch.id/deep-learning-yang-diterapkan-tahun-ajaran-2025-2026/?utm_source=chatgpt.com)
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Sotomayor, C., Karina, F., Varas, G., Rosa, C., Castro, M., & Israel, E. (2023). Artificial Intelligence In Language Teaching And Learning. *Ciencia Latina Revista Científica Multidisciplinar*, 7(4). [https://doi.org/10.37811/cl\\_rcm.v7i4.7368](https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v7i4.7368)
- Stein-Smith, K. (2018). Foreign languages and creativity — the quiet connection in a globalized/interconnected world how focusing on “deep work” can help foster creativity, and foreign language competency. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.0901.05>
- Sternberg, R. J. (2018). A triangular theory of creativity. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000095>
- Susanto A, et. al. (2025). The Role of Deep Learning in Enhancing Educational Processes:

Opportunities and Applications. *EScience Humanity Journal*, 5(2), 463–473.

Susanto, A. et. al. (2024). *English Language Learning among Indonesian Engineers : a Bourdieu's theory Analysis*. 638–648.

Tempo. (2025, July). Bedah Deep Learning yang Diterapkan Mulai Tahun Ajaran 2025-2026. *Tempo*. [https://www.tempo.co/politik/bedah-deep-learning-yang-diterapkan-mulai-tahun-ajaran-2025-2026-2049737#goog\\_rewarded](https://www.tempo.co/politik/bedah-deep-learning-yang-diterapkan-mulai-tahun-ajaran-2025-2026-2049737#goog_rewarded)

Yi, Z. (2023). Conceptional Reconstruction of High School English Teaching from the Perspective of Deep Learning. *Pacific International Journal*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.55014/pij.v6i2.373>

Zhang, X., & Chen, G. (2022). Machine Learning Model-Based English Project Learning and Functional Research. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1940375>