

INNOVATIVE APPROACHES TO EDUCATOR EMPOWERMENT FOR SUSTAINABLE EDUCATIONAL OUTCOMES

Maximus Gorky Sembiring

Faculty of Education and Teacher Training
Universitas Terbuka, Tangerang Selatan, Indonesia
email: gorky@ecampus.ut.ac.id

Abstract: In pursuing sustainable development, empowering educators is crucial for achieving quality education that meets the needs of contemporary society. This study explores the necessity of empowering educators to bridge gaps and break barriers in educational systems. Furthermore, this study strives to elaborate a comprehensive inquiry under a combination of systematic and comprehensive literature review approaches to related issues. It starts with the identification of critical gaps and the presentation of innovative strategies. This study then aims to: (1) Explore innovative approaches to professional development that empower educators to implement sustainable educational practices, (2) Analyze the role of policy frameworks in supporting educator empowerment for sustainable education, and (3) Assess the impact of resource allocation on the ability of educators to deliver quality education. Those aims are tightly related to the following critical issues, namely: (1) Exploring innovative approaches to professional development, (2) Analyzing the role of policy frameworks, and (3) Assessing the impact of resource allocation. Three relevant and fundamental theories are then referred to. They are: (1) Professional Development Theories, (2) Policy Analysis Theories, and (3) Resource Allocation Theories. The findings conclusively emphasize: (1) The importance of holistic professional development, (2) Supportive policy frameworks, (3) Equitable resource distribution, and (4) Integrated curriculum development. Concluding remarks offer actionable recommendations for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to enhance sustainable educational practices. They are synergically called for: (1) Engaging community and stakeholders, (2) Integrating technology, (3) Advocating policy, and (4) Implementing regular monitoring and evaluation.

Keywords: Educator Empowerment; Sustainable Education; Professional Development; Policy Frameworks; Resource Allocation.

Accepted: June, 20th 2024 Approved: July, 10th 2024 Published: July, 30th 2024



© 2024 FKIP Universitas Terbuka
This is an open access under the CC-BY license

INTRODUCTION

Education is widely recognized as a critical driver of sustainable development, acting as a catalyst for social, economic, and environmental progress. As the global community strives to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals targets (SDGs; <https://sdgs.bappenas.go.id/>; <https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/files/report/2024/SG-SDG-Progress-Report-2024-advanced-unedited-version.pdf>), the empowerment of educators emerges as a pivotal factor in realizing quality education that addresses contemporary societal needs in line with the 21st Century calls (Stauffer, 2022). This empowerment is

not only on enhancing individual teaching capabilities. It is also about fostering systemic changes that enable educators to bridge the gaps and break barriers within educational systems through technology (Dziuban, Graham, Moskal, 2018).

The theme "Bridging Gaps, Breaking Barriers: Innovating Quality Education for Sustainable Development" underscores the urgent need for transformative approaches in education. This paper delves into the multifaceted strategies necessary to empower educators effectively. By focusing on innovative professional development, supportive policy frameworks, and equitable resource allocation, this study attempts to provide a comprehensive understanding. It is about how to equip educators to implement sustainable educational practices to adapting uncertainties, Industrial Revolution 4.0, Society 5.0, and the six megatrends (Schwab, 2016; Deguchi *et al.* 2020; Rossi, 2018; Vielmetter & Sell, 2014).

In the context of rapidly changing educational landscapes, professional development is crucial. That is to equip educators with the skills and knowledge required to integrate sustainability into their teaching practices. This call is pertinent as a result of the digitalization and disruption era (Sembiring, 2022). Similarly, robust policy frameworks are essential for creating an enabling environment that supports continuous improvement and innovation in education in the post-pandemic era (Sembiring, 2023). Equitable resource allocation ensures that all educators have access to the tools. They have all the support necessary to deliver high-quality education in line with technological advancement in modern classrooms (Taylor, 2001; Anderson & Dron, 2011).

This study adopts a qualitative approach (Snyder, 2019; Whittemore & Knafl, 2005) to identify critical gaps in current educational practices and propose innovative strategies for addressing those challenges. By examining the interplay between professional development, policy support, and resource distribution, the paper is explored to offer actionable recommendations for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders. These recommendations are intended to foster a collaborative effort toward enhancing sustainable educational practices and achieving the broader goals of sustainable development.

The following sections will elaborate on several aspects. It includes identified gaps, presents innovative strategies, and discusses the implications of findings. It will ultimately contribute to a more holistic and inclusive approach to educator empowerment and sustainable education.

The Gaps and Relevance

The relevance and importance of this study are underscored by several critical gaps. The first is related to inadequate professional development in the teaching area as a profession. The existing professional development programs often do not adequately address the skills and knowledge required for sustainable education in conjunction with 21st-century skills (Geisinger, 2016). The second is related to the weakness of policy frameworks. There is a lack of comprehensive policies that support educator empowerment and sustainable educational practices as highlighted by the OECD (OECD, 2018; OECD, 2020).

The third is related to resource inequities. Disparities in resource allocation prevent many educators from accessing the tools and support needed for effective teaching following transforming instruction from offline to online learning delivery (Belawati, 2019); it is not the emergency remote teaching (Hodges, Moore, Locke, Trust & Bond, 2020; Whittle, Tiwari, Yan & Williams, 2020). The fourth is related to the fragmented curriculum integration. The sustainability concepts are inconsistently integrated into curricula. It limits their impact on students' understanding and engagement as emphasized in Community of Inquiry (Garrison, 2009) and separately prompted by McTee (2010).

The Main and Additional Objectives

The objectives of this inquiry are essentially to: (1) Explore innovative approaches to professional development that empower educators to implement sustainable educational practices, (2) Analyze the role of policy frameworks in supporting educator empowerment for sustainable education, and (3) Assess the impact of resource allocation on the ability of educators to deliver quality education.

Additionally, this study aims to: (1) Identify best practices in integrating sustainability into educational curricula, (2) Examine the effects of community and stakeholder engagement on educator empowerment, and (3) Propose actionable recommendations for policymakers and educational institutions.

Critical Issues and Fundamental Questions

The proposed title regarding the intention to explore innovative approaches to educator empowerment for sustainable educational outcomes realistically leads to the formulation of the following issues. The first issue is related to exploring innovative approaches to professional development. It then leads to the following three rudimentary questions: (1) What innovative professional development programs are most effective in empowering educators to implement sustainable educational practices? (2) How do different models of professional development impact the ability of educators to integrate sustainability into their teaching? (3) What are the key challenges educators face in utilizing innovative professional development resources?

The second issue is related to analyzing the role of the policy framework. It then leads to the following three primary queries: (1) How do existing policy frameworks support or hinder the empowerment of educators for sustainable education? (2) What specific policy reforms are needed to better support educators in delivering sustainable education? (3) How can policy frameworks be designed to encourage continuous professional development and innovation in futuristic teaching practices?

The third issue is related to assessing the impact of resource allocation. It then leads to the following three core inquiries: (1) How does the allocation of resources affect the ability of educators to deliver quality education with a focus on sustainability? (2) What are the disparities in resource distribution among schools, and how do these disparities impact educational outcomes? (3) What strategies can be implemented to ensure equitable resource allocation supports educators in achieving sustainable educational outcomes?

RELATED LITERATURE REVIEW

The theoretical foundation for this study is based on educational theories and frameworks that emphasize: (1) Professional Development, (2) Policy Analysis, and (3) Resource Allocation. These three theories provide a comprehensive understanding of how to empower educators to achieve sustainable educational outcomes, especially in the post-pandemic era.

Professional Development Theories

The first part of this approach is related to Adult Learning Theory. This is the key concept known as Andragogy (Knowles, 1984). That is the art and science of helping adults to learn, emphasizing self-directed learning, practical application of knowledge, and the relevance of learning to real-world situations. This fundamental theory should be prudently applied with several approaches as suggested by Andreev (2021). Professional development programs should be designed to align with adult learning principles, ensuring that educators are engaged in continuous, relevant, and practical learning experiences that empower them to integrate sustainability into their teaching practices. They are not only integrated in terms of pedagogy competencies but also of technical capabilities (Sembiring, 2021; Silverthorn, 2006).

The second part is the Transformational Learning Theory (Taylor & Crantor, 2012). This theory conceptually focuses on the process of critical reflection and personal transformation. It posits that learning experiences should challenge existing beliefs and promote a deeper understanding of new concepts. Operationally, innovative professional development should facilitate transformational learning experiences for educators, enabling them to critically reflect on their teaching practices, and adopt sustainable educational approaches.

Policy Analysis Theories

The first part of this approach is the Policy Implementation Theory (Khan, 2016). This theory conceptually examines the processes and factors that influence the successful implementation of policies. It highlights the importance of clear objectives, stakeholder involvement, and adequate resources. Practically, analyzing the role of policy frameworks involves understanding how well policies are implemented in practice and identifying the factors that support or hinder their effectiveness in empowering educators for sustainable education.

The second part of this approach is the Advocacy Coalition Framework (Olofsson & Weible, 2020). This framework conceptually focuses on the role of various advocacy groups in shaping policy outcomes. It emphasizes the importance of coalitions, shared beliefs, and coordinated efforts in influencing policy changes. Practically, the policy reforms aimed at supporting educator empowerment by reflecting the influence of advocacy coalitions, involving educators, policymakers, and stakeholders in the development and implementation of supportive policies.

Resource Allocation Theories

The first part of this approach is the Equity Theory (Adams & Freedman, 1976). This theory conceptually focuses on fairness and justice in the distribution of resources. It posits that equitable resource allocation is essential for achieving positive outcomes and maintaining motivation. Practically, this approach assesses the impact of resource allocation on educator empowerment and involves examining the fairness of resource distribution among schools and identifying strategies to address disparities.

The second part of this approach is the Resource-Based View Theory (Barney & Clark, 2007). This theory conceptually emphasizes the strategic importance of resources in achieving organizational goals. It suggests that resources must be valuable, rare, and non-substitutable to provide a competitive advantage. Practically, in the educational context, resources such as training materials, technology, and support systems must be strategically allocated. That is to empower educators and enhance their ability to deliver quality, sustainable education.

The basic theories of this study integrate concepts from adult learning, transformational learning, policy implementation, advocacy coalition, equity, and resource-based view theories. By exploring innovative approaches to professional development, analyzing supportive policy frameworks, and assessing equitable resource allocation, this study aims to provide a comprehensive framework for empowering educators to achieve sustainable educational outcomes. These theories collectively inform the research questions and guide the investigation into effective strategies for bridging gaps and breaking barriers in education.

RESEARCH DESIGN

Methodically, the study applies a qualitative approach (Snyder, 2019; Whitemore & Knafl, 2005). This study then proposes a nine-syntax approach to reveal the answers and explore those previous questions and aims stated respectively. This nine-syntax is a combination of a systematic literature review (Atkinson & Cipriani, 2018; Khan, Kunz, Kleijnen & Antes, 2003) and a comprehensive literature review (Williams, 2018; Onwuegbuzie & Frels, 2015). The nine-syntax approach includes: (1) Identifying the research objectives and questions for the literature review, (2) Determining inclusion and exclusion criteria for selecting literature sources, (3) Conducting a comprehensive search of relevant sources, (4) Screening the retrieved articles based on the inclusion criteria, (5) Extracting and analyzing the relevant data from the selected articles, (6) Synthesizing and summarizing the findings from the literature, (7) Identifying potential gaps for further research, (8) Incorporating expert perspectives gathered through several discussion or consultation activities series, and (9) Integrating the findings from the literature review and expert insights to provide a comprehensive overview.

Under this approach, the study, following Creswell (2015), then synthesized existing research results and expert perspectives to propose innovative approaches to professional development that empower educators to implement sustainable educational practices. It is also of interest to establish the role of policy frameworks in supporting educator empowerment for sustainable education. Correspondingly, a need to formulate the impact of resource allocation on the ability of educators to deliver quality education is formed. It was presumed that these approaches would facilitate an explanation of how the critical issues as a driving force should be cautiously coped with by proposing adequate

endeavors toward an agile futuristic approach in empowering educators for constructively sustaining educational outcomes. These are all concomitantly accomplished by: (1) Establishing robust innovative approaches to professional development, (2) Ascertaining the role of policy frameworks, and (3) Authenticating the impact of resource allocation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The inquiry into innovative approaches to educator empowerment for sustainable educational outcomes reveals significant findings and underscores critical research gaps. By systematically examining these elements, we can better understand how to address the challenges facing contemporary education systems.

Professional Development and Teacher Efficacy

Despite there are several benefits, existing professional development programs often fall short of addressing the specific skills and knowledge required for sustainable education. Many programs lack a focus on sustainability, resulting in educators feeling unprepared to teach these critical concepts. To sidestep this restraint, innovative approaches to professional development empower educators is pertinent. It aims to implement sustainable educational practices and address the gap by designing and implementing comprehensive, ongoing training programs focused on sustainability.

Studies indicate that targeted professional development significantly enhances teachers' confidence and competence in delivering sustainable education. “UNESCO Global Education Monitoring Report – Inclusion and Education: All Means All” highlights a positive correlation between sustainability training and effective teaching practices in many cases (<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373718>). Professional development programs that are tailored to equip educators with knowledge and skills in sustainability lead to improved teaching methodologies and greater integration of sustainability concepts into the classroom.

Policy Support

There is a lack of comprehensive policies in many regions that support educator empowerment and sustainable education. Weak policy frameworks fail to provide the necessary support and incentives for educators to engage in professional development and adopt innovative teaching methods. Analyzing the role of policy frameworks in supporting educator empowerment for sustainable education, and advocating for developing and implementing robust policies that promote continuous improvement and innovation in education is critically crucial.

Countries with strong policy support for educator empowerment, such as Finland and Singapore, report higher levels of teacher motivation and student performance (Lavonen, 2020; Dahal, 2016). These countries have implemented comprehensive policies that support continuous professional development, provide resources for innovation, and create an environment conducive to sustainable educational practices.

Resource Allocation

Disparities in resource allocation prevent many educators from accessing the tools and support needed for effective teaching. These inequities create significant barriers to the implementation of innovative and sustainable educational practices. Assessing the impact of resource allocation on the ability of educators to deliver quality education, and identifying strategies to ensure equitable distribution of resources that support all educators in achieving sustainable educational outcomes is then influential.

Research from the World Bank in 2021 emphasizes the importance of equitable resource distribution (<https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/wdr2021>). Well-resourced schools are better equipped to adopt innovative teaching methods and support sustainable educational practices. Equitable resource allocation ensures that all educators have access to the necessary tools and support, regardless of their geographical or socio-economic context.

Curriculum Integration

Sustainability concepts are often inconsistently integrated into curricula, limiting their impact on students' understanding and engagement. Fragmented curriculum integration fails to provide a cohesive and comprehensive education on sustainability issues. Additionally, identifying best practices in integrating sustainability into educational curricula, and promoting cohesive and comprehensive curriculum development that embeds sustainability across all subjects and grade levels is therefore significant.

The Global Education Monitoring Report in 2022 reveals that integrated sustainability curricula enhance student engagement and understanding in most cases (<https://www.unesco.org/gem-report/en/inclusion>). Schools that have successfully integrated sustainability concepts into their curricula report higher levels of student interest and a deeper understanding of sustainability-related global issues.

Tightening the Results to the Objectives

To achieve the main objectives and address the research gaps, this study focuses on four fundamental aspects. The first is on the Innovative Professional Development. Here, the objective was to explore innovative approaches to professional development. This was initially meant to mend a gap, i.e., inadequate professional development programs. It then needs action, that is to develop and implement comprehensive training programs for educators focused on sustainability. The second is on the Supportive Policy Frameworks. In this part, the objective was to analyze the role of policy frameworks. This was originally meant to mend the gap in weak policy frameworks. It then needs action, that is to advocate for robust policy reforms that support continuous professional development and innovation.

The third is on the Equitable Resource Allocation. In this area, the objective was to assess the impact of resource allocation. This was formerly meant to mend the gap concerning resource inequities. It then needs action, that is to develop strategies to ensure equitable distribution of resources. The fourth is on the Integrated Curriculum

Development. In this context, the objective was to identify best practices in integrating sustainability into curricula. This was previously meant to mend the gap in fragmented curriculum integration. It needs to promote comprehensive curriculum development that consistently embeds sustainability concepts.

Answering the Additional Objectives and Further Actions

There are two capacities included in this esteem, they are: (1) Community and Stakeholder Engagement and (2) Actionable Recommendations. The Community and Stakeholder Engagement is meant to examine the effects of community and stakeholder engagement on educator empowerment. The proposed action is then to foster partnerships between schools, local organizations, businesses, and governments to support educators. Actionable Recommendations are meant to propose actionable recommendations for policymakers and educational institutions. The suggested action is to provide practical guidelines and strategies to enhance sustainable educational practices through policy advocacy and regular monitoring and evaluation.

Addressing these gaps through targeted actions is meant to create a comprehensive framework for empowering educators and promoting sustainable educational outcomes, ultimately contributing to the broader goals of sustainable development.

Four Potential Futuristic Implications

The inquiry ensues four potential implications that should be prudently remarked. The first is related to the Comprehensive Professional Development Framework. This implies that it needs to propose holistic and ongoing professional development programs tailored to sustainable education. The second is related to Innovative Policy Recommendations. This implies that it needs to offer new policy frameworks that prioritize educator empowerment and sustainability. The third is related to Equitable Resource Allocation Models. This implies that it needs to develop models to ensure a fair distribution of resources to support all educators. The fourth is related to Integrated Curriculum Strategies. This implies that it needs to suggest innovative approaches for embedding sustainability concepts across all subjects and grade levels.

Four Innovative Remarks for Further Alternative Courses of Action

Furthermore, the study leads to two innovative remarks and further alternative courses of action. The first is on Community and Stakeholder Engagement. That is to encourage partnerships between schools, local organizations, businesses, and governments to provide additional resources and support for educators. The second is on Technology Integration. That is to leverage technology to provide continuous professional development and resource sharing among educators. The third is on Policy Advocacy. That is to mobilize educators and stakeholders to advocate for policy reforms that support sustainable educational practices. The fourth is on Monitoring and Evaluation. That is to establish mechanisms for ongoing monitoring and evaluation to assess the effectiveness of implemented strategies and adjust them as needed as familiarized by Sembiring (2020).

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND IMPLICATION

This study underscores the critical need for innovative approaches to educator empowerment to achieve sustainable educational outcomes. By addressing gaps in professional development, policy support, resource allocation, and curriculum integration, this paper provides a comprehensive framework for enhancing the capacity of educators. The implications for policymakers include the necessity of reforms to support sustainable education, while educational institutions are encouraged to adopt holistic professional development and equitable resource distribution practices. Future research should focus on the long-term impact of these strategies and the continuous adaptation of educational practices to meet evolving sustainability goals.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, T., & Dron, J. (2011). Three generations of distance education pedagogy. *IRRODL*, 12(3), 80-97. <http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/890/1826>
- Andreev, I. (2021). Career development theories. First uploaded November 12, 2021 and updated April 3, 2024. Available at <https://www.valamis.com/hub/career-development-theories>
- Adams, J. S., & Freedman, S. (1976). Equity Theory Revisited: Comments and Annotated Bibliography. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 9, 43-90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(08\)60058-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60058-1)
- Atkinson, L., & Cipriani, A. (2018). How to carry out a literature search for a systematic review: A practical guide. *BJPsych Advances*, 24(02), 74-82. DOI: 10.1192/bja.2017.3
- Barney, J. B., & Clark, D. N. (2007). *Resource-Based Theory: Creating and Sustaining Competitive Advantages*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Belawati, T. (2019). *Pembelajaran Online*. Tangerang Selatan: Universitas Terbuka.
- Creswell, J. W. (2015). *A Concise Introduction to Mixed Methods Research*. 1st Ed. California: Sage Publications.
- Dahal, G. (2016). Education policies for cultivating student learning: The model of Finnish and Singaporean approach. 10.20472/TEC.2016.003.005.
- Deguchi, A., Hirai, C., Matsuoka, H., Nakano, T., Oshima, K., Tai, M., & Tani, S. (2020). What is Society 5.0? *Society*, 5(0), 1-24.
- Dziuban, C., Graham, C. R., Moskal, P. D. (2018). Blended learning: the new normal and emerging technologies. *Int J Educ Technol High Educ*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0087-5>
- Garrison, D.R. (2009). Communities of Inquiry in Online Learning. *IGI Global*. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60566-198-8.ch052
- Geisinger, K. F. (2016). 21st-century skills: What are they and how do we assess them? *Applied Measurement in Education*, 29(4), 245-249.
- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., and Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. *EDUCAUSE Review*.

- <https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning>
- Khan, A. R. (2016). Policy implementation: Some aspects and issues. *Journal of Community Positive Practices*, 16(3), 3-12.
- Khan, K. S., Kunz, R., Kleijnen, J., & Antes, G. (2003). Five steps to conducting a systematic review. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 96(3), 118-121. doi:10.1177/014107680309600304
- Knowles, M. S. (1984). *Andragogy in Action. Applying Modern Principles of Adult Education*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass.
- Lavonen, J. (2020). Curriculum and Teacher Education Reforms in Finland that Support the Development of Competences for the 21st Century. In: Reimers, F.M. (eds) *Audacious Education Purposes*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41882-3_3
- McTee, K. (2010). Thirty years of distance education: Personal Reflections. *IRRODL*, 11(2), 100-109. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ895751.pdf>
- OECD. (2018). *The Future of Education and Skills: Education 2030*. Available at [https://www.oecd.org/education/2030/E2030%20Position%20Paper%20\(05.04.2018\).pdf](https://www.oecd.org/education/2030/E2030%20Position%20Paper%20(05.04.2018).pdf)
- OECD. (2020). Back to the Future of Education: Four OECD Scenarios for Schooling. *Educational Research & Innovation*. Available at <https://doi.org/10.1787/178ef527-en>
- Olofsson, K. L., & Weible, C. M. (2020). *Advocacy Coalition Framework, Higher Education*. In: Teixeira, P. N., Shin, J. C. (eds) *The International Encyclopedia of Higher Education Systems and Institutions*. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8905-9_153
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Frels, R. (2015). *Seven Steps to a Comprehensive Literature Review*. Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Rossi, B. (2018). What will Industry 5.0 mean for manufacturing? <https://www.raconteur.net/manufacturing/manufacturing-gets-personal-industry-5-0/>
- Schwab, K. (2016). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. World Economic Forum, Switzerland, www.weforum.org
- Sembiring, M. G. (2020). *Pendidikan Terbuka untuk Indonesia Emas*. In Belawati, T (Ed). Tangerang Selatan: Universitas Terbuka. <https://repository.ut.ac.id/9055/>
- Sembiring, M. G. (2021). *Pedagogik Transformatif Pemebelajaran Daring*. Tangsel: Universitas Terbuka. <https://repository.ut.ac.id/9484/>
- Sembiring, M. G. (2022). Critical issues on the learning process amidst COVID-19 perceived from pedagogical perspectives. *Jurnal PTJJ*, 23(1), 30-41. <https://doi.org/10.33830/ptjj.v23>
- Sembiring, M. G. (2023). Envisaging Alterations of Future University Business Models: Anticipative Measures to Precede Unintended Consequences. Paper presented at “The 29th ICDE World Conference” hosted by UNED Costa Rica, San Jose, 6 – 10 November 2023.
- Silverthorn, D. U. (2006). Teaching and learning in the interactive classroom. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 30(4), 135-140.

- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Stauffer, B. (2022). What Are 21st Century Skills? (10 January 2022). Available at <https://www.aeseducation.com/blog/what-are-21st-century-skills>.
- Taylor, E. W., & Cranton, P. (2012). *The Handbook of Transformative Learning: Theory, Research, and Practice*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Taylor, J. (2001). Fifth-generation distance education. *e-Journal of Instructional Science and Technology*, 4(1), 1-14. <http://eprints.usq.edu.au/136/>
- Whittle, C., Tiwari, S., Yan, S., & Williams, J. (2020). Emergency remote teaching environment: A conceptual framework for responsive online teaching in crises. *Information and Learning Sciences*, 121(5/6), 311-319.
- Vielmetter, G. and Sell, Y. (2014). *Leadership 2030: The Six Megatrends You Need to Understand to Lead Your Company into the Future*. New York, USA: Amacom.
- Whittemore, R., & Knafl, K. (2005). The integrative review: Updated methodology. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 52(5), 546–553.
- Williams, J. K. (2018). A Comprehensive review of seven steps to a comprehensive literature review. *The Qualitative Report*, 23(2), 345-349. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2018.3374>
- <https://sdgs.bappenas.go.id/>
- <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373718>
- <https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/files/report/2024/SG-SDG-Progress-Report-2024-advanced-unedited-version.pdf>
- <https://www.unesco.org/gem-report/en/inclusion>
- <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/wdr2021>