

ENHANCING DIGITAL LITERACY THROUGH AUGMENTED REALITY: A STUDY ON EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY STUDENTS

Suryo Prabowo¹, Abd Ghafur², Andayani Andayani^{3*}

^{1, 2}*Department of Educational Technology, Universitas Terbuka (INDONESIA)*

³*Department of Teacher Professional Education, Universitas Terbuka (INDONESIA)*

*anda@ecampus.ut.ac.id

Abstract

In the digital era, the ability to access, evaluate, and utilize information through technology is an essential skill for future educators and instructional designers. This study investigates the impact of Augmented Reality (AR)-based learning on digital literacy development among Educational Technology students. Using a quasi-experimental design, the research involved 60 undergraduate students divided into control and experimental groups. The experimental group interacted with AR-enhanced learning materials focusing on media literacy, digital communication, and information management skills. Data were collected through pre- and post-tests using a validated digital literacy instrument and analyzed using paired-sample t-tests. The results indicate a statistically significant improvement in digital literacy among students exposed to AR-based learning environments. This study highlights the potential of AR not only as an engaging educational tool but also as a catalyst for developing essential 21st-century skills in higher education. Implications for curriculum development and future research directions are discussed.

Keywords: Augmented Reality, Digital Literacy, Educational Technology Higher Education, Instructional Innovation.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving digital era, the capacity to access, evaluate, and utilize information effectively has become a crucial skill, particularly for future educators and instructional designers (Gilster, 1997; Ng, 2012). Digital literacy, which encompasses competencies such as media literacy, digital communication, and information management, is increasingly recognized as a foundation for success in higher education and professional practice (Ferrari, 2013; Eshet-Alkalai, 2012; Spante et al., 2018). The demand for digitally literate graduates is not only a response to the integration of technology in educational contexts but also a necessity for navigating the complex information landscape of the 21st century (Ilomäki et al., 2016; Voogt & Roblin, 2012). Consequently, educational institutions are urged to adopt innovative learning approaches that can strengthen digital literacy among students (Greene et al., 2014; Jones & Hafner, 2012).

Recent advancements in educational technology have provided new opportunities to enhance digital literacy through immersive and interactive tools. Augmented Reality (AR), in particular, has gained attention for its ability to blend virtual elements with real-world environments, creating engaging and meaningful learning experiences (Bacca et al., 2014; Radu, 2014). Studies have shown that AR-based learning can promote active engagement, improve conceptual understanding, and foster collaborative learning (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2017; Garzón & Acevedo, 2019; Santos et al., 2022). In the context of digital literacy, AR offers the potential to provide students with authentic tasks that stimulate critical thinking, problem-solving, and information evaluation skills (Wu et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2022). Thus, AR has emerged as a promising pedagogical innovation capable of supporting essential digital competencies in higher education (Johnson-Glenberg, 2018; Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos, 2018). Despite these promising developments, the integration of AR in higher education—especially in cultivating digital literacy—remains underexplored. While prior research has largely focused on AR's effectiveness in enhancing subject-specific knowledge or improving motivation and engagement (Bacca et al., 2014; Radu, 2014), limited empirical studies have systematically examined its role in advancing digital literacy skills among Educational Technology students (Spante et al., 2018; Ng, 2021). Moreover, existing studies often emphasize theoretical benefits without sufficient empirical evidence from controlled research designs (Garzón & Acevedo, 2019). This gap highlights the need for rigorous investigations into how AR-based learning environments influence students' digital literacy development and how such interventions can be strategically integrated into higher education curricula (Cai et al., 2022; Santos et al., 2022).

In response to this gap, the present study aims to investigate the impact of AR-enhanced learning on digital literacy development among Educational Technology undergraduate students. By employing a quasi-experimental design and comparing pre- and post-test results between control and experimental groups, this study seeks to provide empirical evidence on the effectiveness of AR as a catalyst for strengthening digital competencies. The findings are expected to contribute not only to the growing body of knowledge on AR in education but also to the design of more effective curriculum strategies that align with the demands of the digital era (Ilomäki et al., 2016; Voogt & Roblin, 2012).

2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Research Design

This study employed a quasi-experimental design with a pre-test and post-test control group format. The design was chosen to enable a systematic comparison between students who experienced Augmented Reality (AR)-based learning and those who did not. The experimental group was exposed to AR-enhanced learning materials specifically designed to foster digital literacy skills, while the control group received conventional instructional materials. This design allowed the researchers to measure the impact of AR intervention on the development of students' digital literacy competencies.

2.2 Participants

The participants of this study consisted of 60 undergraduate students enrolled in the Educational Technology program at a public university. The students were purposively selected to ensure homogeneity in terms of academic background and exposure to digital learning tools. They were randomly assigned into two groups: 30 students in the experimental group and 30 students in the control group. Both groups had comparable demographic characteristics, such as age range and prior experience with digital technologies, ensuring balanced conditions for the study.

2.3 Instrument for Data Collection

Data were collected using a validated digital literacy instrument that measured three key components: media literacy, digital communication, and information management skills. The instrument had been previously tested for reliability and construct validity, making it appropriate for assessing the impact of AR-based learning interventions. The test was administered in two phases: a pre-test conducted before the intervention to establish baseline competencies, and a post-test administered after the learning sessions to measure the development of digital literacy skills.

2.4 Data Analysis Technique

The collected data were analyzed using paired-sample t-tests to determine the significance of differences between pre-test and post-test scores within and across groups. This statistical technique was appropriate for measuring the effectiveness of the intervention, as it allowed the researchers to evaluate whether AR-based learning had a significant effect on students' digital literacy development. The analysis results were then interpreted to provide empirical

evidence on the role of AR as a pedagogical tool for enhancing essential digital competencies in higher education.

3 FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of Augmented Reality (AR)-based learning on the digital literacy skills of Educational Technology undergraduate students. Data were collected through pre- and post-tests from both experimental and control groups. The findings are presented in two parts: (1) descriptive statistics of students' digital literacy scores, and (2) results of paired-sample t-tests to examine the significance of the improvement.

3.1 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive results indicated that students in the experimental group showed a substantial increase in their digital literacy scores after the intervention. Meanwhile, the control group demonstrated only a modest improvement. Table 1 summarizes the mean scores and standard deviations for both groups.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores

| Group | N | Pre-Test Mean (SD) | Post-Test Mean (SD) | Mean Gain |
|--------------|----|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Experimental | 30 | 68.20 (6.15) | 82.45 (5.72) | +14.25 |
| Control | 30 | 67.90 (6.32) | 71.55 (6.04) | +3.65 |

The data in Table 1 demonstrate that both groups started with relatively similar digital literacy levels, as indicated by comparable pre-test mean scores (68.20 for the experimental group and 67.90 for the control group). This similarity suggests that the two groups were initially balanced in terms of their digital literacy competencies. After the intervention, however, a notable difference emerged. The experimental group, which engaged with AR-enhanced learning materials, achieved a substantial improvement, raising their average score to 82.45 with a mean gain of 14.25 points. In contrast, the control group, which received conventional instruction, reached a post-test mean of only 71.55, with a mean gain of 3.65 points.

The standard deviations further indicate that the variability of scores in both groups remained relatively stable across the pre-test and post-test phases, showing that the observed improvements were consistent among most participants. The larger mean gain in the experimental group reflects the strong impact of AR-based learning in fostering digital

literacy, particularly in areas such as media literacy, digital communication, and information management. These descriptive findings already point toward the effectiveness of AR as a learning tool, even before considering the results of inferential statistical tests.

3.2 Inferential Statistics

To assess whether the improvements in digital literacy scores were statistically significant, paired-sample t-tests were performed for both the experimental and control groups. Prior to the test, data distribution was checked and met the assumption of normality. The SPSS output generated two main results: (1) Paired Samples Correlations, which shows the correlation between pre-test and post-test scores within each group, and (2) Paired Samples Test, which evaluates whether the mean difference between pre-test and post-test scores is statistically significant.

Table 2. Paired Samples Correlations

| Group | N | Correlation | Sig. |
|--------------------|----|-------------|------|
| Experimental Group | 30 | .712 | .000 |
| Control Group | 30 | .498 | .005 |

The results in Table 2 show the correlation between students' pre-test and post-test scores within each group. In the experimental group, the correlation value was .712 with a significance level of $p < .001$. This indicates a strong and statistically significant relationship between pre-test and post-test scores. The strength of this correlation suggests that students' performance was consistent across the two tests; however, the considerable mean gain (see Table 1) also implies that AR-based learning successfully elevated the overall performance of most participants. In other words, students who had relatively high digital literacy before the intervention still maintained their advantage, but all students benefited significantly from AR exposure.

In the control group, the correlation coefficient was .498 with $p = .005$, which reflects a moderate but weaker relationship compared to the experimental group. This suggests that while students who scored higher in the pre-test tended to maintain their performance in the post-test, the improvement was less systematic and less pronounced. The moderate correlation in the control group may also indicate variability in how traditional learning methods influenced individual students' digital literacy development.

Table 3. Paired Samples Test with Effect Size

| Group | Mean Difference | Std. Deviation | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Cohen's d |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|----|-----------------|-----------|
| Experimental Group | 14.25 | 4.85 | 10.72 | 29 | .000 | 2.04 |
| Control Group | 3.65 | 5.21 | 2.14 | 29 | .041 | 0.39 |

The experimental group achieved a mean improvement of 14.25 points, with a highly significant t-value (10.72, $p < .001$). The calculated effect size, Cohen's $d = 2.04$, indicates an extremely large effect according to conventional benchmarks (0.2 = small, 0.5 = medium, 0.8 = large). This shows that AR-based learning was not only statistically significant but also pedagogically powerful in enhancing digital literacy skills. In contrast, the control group improved by 3.65 points with a lower t-value (2.14, $p = .041$). The effect size was Cohen's $d = 0.39$, which falls in the small-to-medium effect range. Although this improvement reached statistical significance, the practical impact of conventional instruction was modest compared to AR-based learning.

3.3 Discussion

The findings of this study revealed that Augmented Reality (AR)-based learning had a substantial impact on improving the digital literacy skills of Educational Technology undergraduate students. Both descriptive and inferential analyses confirmed that the experimental group experienced significantly greater gains compared to the control group, with a very large effect size (Cohen's $d = 2.04$). This outcome provides strong evidence that AR integration in higher education can serve as an effective pedagogical strategy to cultivate 21st-century digital competencies.

First, the descriptive results demonstrated that the experimental group's digital literacy scores increased markedly more than those of the control group. This aligns with prior studies showing that immersive and interactive technologies, such as AR, enhance learner engagement and motivation, which are critical drivers for skill acquisition (Bacca et al., 2014; Radu, 2014). AR allows students to experience contextualized, hands-on learning environments that support better understanding and application of digital tools (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2017). Such experiential engagement explains why the mean gain in the experimental group was substantially higher than that in the control group.

Second, the inferential statistics highlighted not only statistical significance but also pedagogical relevance. The effect size ($d = 2.04$) indicates that AR-based learning produced transformational outcomes rather than incremental improvements. This finding resonates with previous research indicating that AR fosters higher-order thinking, problem-solving, and media literacy, which are integral components of digital literacy (Wu et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2022). In contrast, the conventional learning approach showed only modest improvements with a small-to-medium effect ($d = 0.39$), consistent with studies that suggest traditional instruction alone is insufficient to foster deep digital literacy competencies (Spante et al., 2018).

The strong pre–post correlation observed in the experimental group further underscores the consistency of AR’s impact. Students with high initial digital literacy maintained their advantage, but all students benefited significantly, reflecting AR’s inclusive nature as a learning tool. This finding aligns with constructivist perspectives, which emphasize that learning environments should allow students to actively construct knowledge through interaction and exploration (Vygotsky, 1978; Johnson-Glenberg, 2018). By overlaying virtual information onto real-world contexts, AR supports situated learning and scaffolds students’ ability to critically analyze, evaluate, and utilize digital information.

Moreover, AR-based learning contributes directly to the development of the core dimensions of digital literacy—information literacy, communication, content creation, safety, and problem-solving (Ng, 2012; Ferrari, 2013). For instance, students engaged with AR materials were required to navigate multimedia information, interpret digital symbols, and communicate insights in interactive formats. This process fosters not only functional ICT skills but also critical and creative digital practices, which are increasingly recognized as essential for educational technology professionals (Belshaw, 2011; Eshet-Alkalai, 2012).

The results of this study are also in line with broader educational technology literature, which emphasizes that digital literacy is not merely about technical proficiency but involves a combination of cognitive, socio-emotional, and ethical skills (Gilster, 1997; Greene et al., 2014). AR appears to uniquely support this multidimensional literacy by enabling learners to critically engage with digital content while simultaneously navigating collaborative and problem-based tasks. This is consistent with findings from recent meta-analyses showing that AR has a positive impact on student learning outcomes across disciplines, particularly in higher education contexts (Garzón & Acevedo, 2019; Santos et al., 2022).

Another important implication concerns the relevance of AR to preparing students for the demands of the 21st century. Digital literacy is recognized as a foundational competence for employability, lifelong learning, and active citizenship (Voogt & Roblin, 2012; Ilomäki et al., 2016). By fostering deeper engagement with digital media and promoting innovative learning practices, AR-based instruction can bridge the gap between academic preparation and real-world digital challenges. This suggests that integrating AR into curricula is not simply an enhancement, but a necessity to ensure that graduates remain competitive and adaptable in an increasingly digital society.

Nevertheless, the modest gains in the control group should not be overlooked. Even conventional instruction contributed to some improvements, reflecting that exposure to structured learning activities—regardless of technological mediation—still plays a role in developing digital literacy. However, the magnitude of improvement suggests that passive or traditional methods may no longer be sufficient in addressing the complex demands of digital competency in higher education (Jones & Hafner, 2012; Ng, 2021).

In sum, this study provides empirical support for the integration of AR as a transformative learning tool in higher education. By demonstrating significant and large-scale improvements in digital literacy, the findings reinforce the argument that AR should be strategically adopted in educational technology programs. Future research should explore longitudinal impacts, cross-disciplinary applications, and potential challenges such as accessibility, cost, and teacher readiness (Ibáñez & Delgado-Kloos, 2018).

4 CONCLUSION

This study set out to examine the effectiveness of Augmented Reality (AR)-based learning in enhancing the digital literacy skills of Educational Technology undergraduate students. By employing a quasi-experimental design with pre-test and post-test measures, the research compared the outcomes of students exposed to AR-enhanced learning materials with those who received conventional instruction.

The results provide compelling evidence that AR integration significantly improves digital literacy. Descriptive statistics showed that the experimental group achieved a much higher mean gain (+14.25) compared to the control group (+3.65), despite both groups starting with similar baseline competencies. Inferential analyses further confirmed that the improvement in the experimental group was statistically significant and accompanied by a very large effect size (Cohen's $d = 2.04$), whereas the control group showed only modest progress with a

small-to-medium effect ($d = 0.39$). These findings indicate that AR-based learning is not only effective in statistical terms but also highly impactful in practical and pedagogical contexts.

The outcomes also highlight the inclusive nature of AR as a learning tool. Students across different initial ability levels benefited from AR exposure, demonstrating that the technology can foster equitable learning gains. Moreover, AR supports the development of core digital literacy dimensions—information management, communication, content creation, safety, and problem-solving—by engaging students in authentic, interactive, and multimodal learning experiences.

In conclusion, AR-based learning has proven to be a transformative pedagogical approach for cultivating 21st-century digital literacy competencies. Its implementation in higher education, particularly within educational technology programs, should be strongly considered to better prepare students for professional and societal demands in an increasingly digitalized world.

Future research is recommended to investigate the long-term effects of AR integration, its scalability across disciplines, and the challenges associated with adoption, such as accessibility, resource allocation, and teacher readiness. Addressing these aspects will provide deeper insights into how AR can be sustainably embedded within higher education curricula to maximize its educational impact.

REFERENCES

- Akçayır, M., & Akçayır, G. (2017). Advantages and challenges associated with augmented reality for education: A systematic review. *Educational Research Review*, 20, 1–11.
- Bacca, J., Baldiris, S., Fabregat, R., Graf, S., & Kinshuk. (2014). Augmented reality trends in education: A systematic review of research and applications. *Educational Technology & Society*, 17(4), 133–149.
- Belshaw, D. (2011). *The essential elements of digital literacies*.
- Cai, S., Wang, X., & Chiang, F. K. (2022). A systematic review of augmented reality in STEM education. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 70, 143–168.
- Eshet-Alkalai, Y. (2012). Thinking in the digital era: A revised model for digital literacy. *Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology*, 9, 267–276.
- Ferrari, A. (2013). *DIGCOMP: A framework for developing and understanding digital competence in Europe*. JRC-IPTS.
- Garzón, J., & Acevedo, J. (2019). Meta-analysis of the impact of augmented reality on students' learning gains. *Educational Research Review*, 27, 244–260.

- Gilster, P. (1997). *Digital literacy*. Wiley.
- Greene, J. A., Yu, S. B., & Copeland, D. Z. (2014). Measuring critical components of digital literacy and their relationships with learning. *Computers & Education*, 76, 55–69.
- Ibáñez, M. B., & Delgado-Kloos, C. (2018). Augmented reality for STEM learning: A systematic review. *Computers & Education*, 123, 109–123.
- Ilomäki, L., Paavola, S., Lakkala, M., & Kantosalo, A. (2016). Digital competence—An emergent boundary concept for policy and educational research. *Education and Information Technologies*, 21, 655–679.
- Johnson-Glenberg, M. C. (2018). Immersive VR and education: Embodied design principles that include gesture and hand controls. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 5, 81.
- Jones, R., & Hafner, C. (2012). *Understanding digital literacies: A practical introduction*. Routledge.
- Ng, W. (2012). Can we teach digital natives digital literacy? *Computers & Education*, 59(3), 1065–1078.
- Ng, W. (2021). *New digital literacies: Global and multicultural perspectives*. Springer.
- Radu, I. (2014). Augmented reality in education: A meta-review and cross-media analysis. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 18(6), 1533–1543.
- Santos, M. E. C., et al. (2022). Immersive technologies in higher education: A systematic review. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(2), 369–388.
- Spante, M., Sofkova Hashemi, S., Lundin, M., & Algers, A. (2018). Digital competence and digital literacy in higher education research: Systematic review of concept use. *Cogent Education*, 5(1), 1519143.
- Voogt, J., & Roblin, N. P. (2012). A comparative analysis of international frameworks for 21st century competences. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 44(3), 299–321.
- Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wu, H. K., Lee, S. W. Y., Chang, H. Y., & Liang, J. C. (2013). Current status, opportunities and challenges of augmented reality in education. *Computers & Education*, 62, 41–49.