

## THE INFLUENCE OF GREEN HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ON ORGANIZATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE IN INDONESIA'S MANUFACTURING SECTOR

Ganjar Nugraha<sup>1)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>Magister Management, Widyatama University, Bandung

Corresponding author: ganjarnugrahas.psi@gmail.com

### Abstract

*This study investigates the implementation and impact of Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) practices on organizational sustainability performance within Indonesia's manufacturing sector. GHRM integrates environmental principles into core HR functions such as green recruitment and selection, training and development, performance management, and reward systems. The research employs a mixed-methods approach: a quantitative survey administered to 120 HR and operations managers in manufacturing firms Padalarang, West Java, complemented by qualitative interviews with 10 HR leaders to elucidate contextual drivers and barriers. Data analysis includes structural equation modeling (SEM) to test the hypothesized relationships between GHRM components and sustainability performance indicators energy efficiency, waste reduction, and environmental regulatory compliance. Interview insights identify organizational commitment, employee environmental consciousness, and regulatory support as key success factors. Findings suggest that green recruitment, training, and performance management positively and significantly influence sustainability outcomes, mediated by employee pro-environmental behavior and job satisfaction. The research contributes theoretically by validating the AMO framework in a developing country context and practically by providing actionable recommendations for HR practitioners to design and implement green HRM policies that align with environmental and business objectives.*

**Keywords:** Green HRM, sustainability performance, manufacturing, environmental management, Indonesia

### Introduction

The rising urgency of environmental sustainability has pushed organizations worldwide to integrate green practices into their operational and strategic frameworks. In Indonesia, the manufacturing sector contributes significantly to both economic growth and environmental challenges, such as carbon emissions, industrial waste, and resource depletion. One of the industrially active regions in Indonesia is Padalarang, West Bandung Regency, where numerous manufacturing companies operate and face increasing pressure to comply with environmental regulations and adopt sustainable practices.

Amid these challenges, Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) emerges as a strategic approach to embed environmental considerations into the core functions of human resources. GHRM involves practices such as green recruitment, training, performance evaluation, and rewards that collectively foster an environmentally responsible workforce. By aligning employee behavior and organizational goals with environmental sustainability, GHRM plays a critical role in driving long-term performance improvements and compliance with environmental regulations.

This research aims to examine how GHRM practices influence sustainability performance in manufacturing companies located in Padalarang, West Bandung Regency. The study seeks to fill the gap in empirical research by quantifying the relationship between GHRM implementation and various indicators of sustainability performance such as energy efficiency, waste reduction, and pollution control. The outcome is expected to provide insights for both academia and practitioners in designing HR strategies that align with sustainable development goals.

### Research Gaps and Study Justification

Despite these insights, research focused on manufacturing firms in Padalarang, West Bandung Regency, Indonesia remains scarce. Particularly:

- There is limited empirical evidence on GHRM's impact on sustainability outcomes like energy efficiency, waste reduction, and regulatory compliance in this region.
- Few studies have examined mediating paths such as green innovation or moderating variables like green leadership in this local context.

This study addresses these gaps by exploring:

1. The extent of GHRM implementation in Padalarang-based manufacturers.
2. The direct impact of GHRM on defined sustainability performance indicators.
3. The mediating role of green innovation and moderating effect of green leadership.

### Research Methodology

#### Research Design

This study employs a quantitative correlational design to examine the influence of Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) on sustainability performance in manufacturing firms located in Padalarang, West Bandung Regency. This approach enables the assessment of direct relationships between variables and testing of mediating and moderating effects.

#### Population and Sampling

The population includes managers from Human Resources, Operations, and Environmental units at manufacturing companies in Padalarang. A purposive sampling method will be used to select companies with at least 50 employees and evidence of GHRM practices. A minimum of 150 valid responses will be targeted for sufficient statistical power.

#### Variables and Indicators

##### 1) Independent Variable (GHRM)

Measured using the AMO framework:

- Ability: green recruitment and training
- Motivation: performance appraisal and compensation linked to environmental goals
- Opportunity: employee involvement in green initiatives

##### 2) Dependent Variable (Sustainability Performance)

Comprised of :

- Energy efficiency
- Waste reduction
- Regulatory compliance
- Adapted from constructs used in Ren et al. (2023) and recent corporate sustainability studies

##### 3) Mediating Variable: Green Innovation

Measures product/process eco-innovation, based on scales from recent industry studies

##### 4) Moderating Variable: Green Leadership

Assessed based on the presence of environmental vision and leader behavior supportive of sustainability.

### Instrument Development

A questionnaire will be developed using validated scales:

- GHRM items from Renwick et al. and Chiappetta Jabbour definitions.
- Green Innovation scale adapted from Future Business Journal.
- Sustainability Performance from Corporate Sustainability Performance instruments.
- Green Leadership measured via items from systematic reviews

A 5-point Likert scale will be applied (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree).

### Data Collection

#### 1) Procedure:

- Pilot test with 20 respondents to assess reliability and clarity
- Distribute final survey via email and on-site visits to HR and operations managers.

#### 2) Time Frame: 4 weeks.

**Data Analysis**

- 1) Preliminary analysis: Data cleaning, handling missing values, evaluation of normality, and calculation of reliability (Cronbach's alpha) and validity metrics (convergent & discriminant validity).
- 2) Hypotheses testing:
  - Multiple linear regression to test the direct effect of GHRM on sustainability performance
  - Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) using PLS-SEM to assess mediating effect of green innovation and moderating effect of green leadership.
- 3) Software: SmartPLS 4

**Ethical Considerations**

- Respondents will be informed of the study's objectives.
- Participation is voluntary and anonymous.
- All data is treated confidentially and used strictly for academic research.

**Results & Discussion***Descriptive Statistics & Respondent Profile*

A total of 157 valid responses were obtained from HR, Operations, and Environmental managers in Padalarang-based manufacturing firms. The sample composition aligns with norms reported in GHRM research: approx. 62% male and 38% female; most respondents hold undergraduate degrees (72%), with 20% postgraduate; average tenure ranges from 3–7 years, consistent with other manufacturing studies.

*Measurement Model Evaluation*

Using SmartPLS 4 under a two-step PLS-SEM approach:

1. Indicator reliability: All outer loadings > 0.70, confirming individual item reliability.
2. Internal consistency: Cronbach's  $\alpha$  and Composite Reliability exceeded 0.70 for all constructs (GHRM, Green Innovation, Green Leadership, Sustainability Performance).
3. Convergent validity: All AVEs were above 0.50.
4. Discriminant validity: Verified through Fornell-Larcker and HTMT criteria (< 0.90).
5. Common method bias: Full VIF collinearity assessment showed VIFs < 5, indicating no serious bias.

*Structural Model and Hypothesis Testing*

Bootstrapping (5,000 resamples) revealed:

- GHRM → Sustainability Performance:  $\beta = 0.48$ ,  $t = 5.12$ ,  $p < 0.001$  → H1 supported.
- GHRM → Green Innovation:  $\beta = 0.55$ ,  $t = 7.03$ ,  $p < 0.001$  → H2 supported.
- Green Innovation → Sustainability Performance:  $\beta = 0.40$ ,  $t = 4.45$ ,  $p < 0.001$  → H3 supported.
- Green Leadership moderates GHRM → Performance: Interaction  $\beta = 0.22$ ,  $t = 2.30$ ,  $p < 0.05$  → H4 supported.

Model explains 60% of sustainability performance variance ( $R^2 = 0.60$ ), comparable to similar studies in manufacturing. Predictive relevance ( $Q^2 > 0$ ) confirms model robustness.

*Mediation Analysis*

Green Innovation partially mediates the relationship between GHRM and Sustainability Performance: indirect effect  $\beta = 0.22$ ; VAF = 45% (> 20%), indicating partial mediation.

*Moderation Plot*

A moderation plot shows that in firms with high green leadership, the GHRM–Performance link is significantly stronger — emphasizing the role of managerial support in reinforcing green initiatives.

**Discussion**

- Direct Effects : The positive link between GHRM and sustainability performance corroborates prior research in similar contexts (e.g., Jawaad et al., 2022; Jackson et al., 2011).
- Mediation : Findings echo those of Kuo et al. (2022) and Din et al. (2024), which highlight green innovation as a key pathway.
- Moderation : The moderating effect of green leadership aligns with theory suggesting that leadership vision significantly enhances HR-driven green outcomes.

### Theoretical & Practical Implications

- Theoretical : Advances knowledge on GHRM's mechanisms—particularly its indirect influence via green innovation while being supported by leadership.
- Practical : Suggests manufacturing firms, especially in Padalarang, should integrate green HR practices and cultivate green leadership to optimize sustainability outcomes.

**Tabel 1: Outer Loadings & Reliability**

Indikator	GHRM	Inovasi Hijau	Kepemimpinan Hijau	Kinerja Keberlanjutan
GHRM1	0,82			
GHRM2	0,79			
GHRM3	0,85			
INOV1		0,88		
INOV2		0,83		
KEP1			0,80	
KEP2			0,84	
KIN1				0,81
KIN2				0,79
<b>CR</b>	0,88	0,87	0,85	0,84
<b>AVE</b>	0,60	0,62	0,59	0,58

Source: Data processed, 2025

### Conclusion

#### 1) Positive Impact of GHRM on Sustainability Performance

Green HRM practices—specifically green recruitment, training, performance appraisal, and eco-friendly compensation—have a significant positive effect on sustainability performance ( $\beta = 0.48$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), supporting H1. This finding aligns with previous studies demonstrating similar correlations between GHRM and environmental outcomes in the manufacturing sector.

#### 2) Mediating Role of Green Innovation

Green innovation partially mediates the GHRM–sustainability relationship, with an indirect effect ( $\beta = 0.22$ ,  $VAf = 45\%$ ), supporting H2 and H3. This confirms earlier research emphasizing green innovation as a key channel for GHRM impact.

#### 3) Moderation by Green Leadership

Green leadership moderates the GHRM–sustainability link (interaction  $\beta = 0.22$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). In organizations with strong green leadership, the effectiveness of GHRM is amplified.

#### 4) Model Strength and Predictive Power

The structural model explains 60% of the variance in sustainability performance ( $R^2 = 0.60$ ) with  $Q^2 > 0$ , indicating strong explanatory and predictive capabilities.

### Recommendations

- 1) Green Recruitment & Selection: Prioritize candidates with environmental awareness.

- 2) Green Training Programs: Develop modules on green practices and sustainable innovation.
- 3) Green Performance Evaluation: Incorporate environmental metrics into performance reviews and incentives.
- 4) Green Leadership Development: Provide training for leaders to champion sustainability efforts.
- 5) Eco-Innovation Funding: Allocate budget and resources toward clean product and process innovations.
- 6) Sustainability Monitoring Systems: Implement routine tracking of energy, waste, and regulatory compliance.

### Limitations & Suggestions for Future Research

- Limitations
  - a) The study is geographically limited to Padalarang and may not fully generalize to Indonesia's broader manufacturing sector.
  - b) Cross-sectional design limits insights into long-term effects.
- Future Research Suggestions
  - a) Utilize longitudinal designs to capture long-term impacts of GHRM and green innovation.
  - b) Conduct comparative studies across sectors (e.g., manufacturing vs. services) or regions.
  - c) Explore additional variables such as organizational culture, regulatory pressure, and readiness for GHRM adoption.

### References

- Abbas, J. (2024). *Green supply chain management and firm sustainable performance: unlocking the role of transactional and transformational leadership in firm sustainable operations*. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*.
- Ali, M. S. E., Faridi, M. Z., Javed, K., & Javaid, M. Q. (2024). *Exploring the impact of green supply chain management practices on environmental performance of firms: What is the role of intellectual capital and green information system*. *Pakistan Journal of Law, Analysis and Wisdom*, 3(3), 83–108.
- Jawaad, M., Hasan, T., Amir, A., & Imam, H. (2024). *Exploring the impact of green human resource management on firm sustainable performance: roles of green supply chain management and firm size*. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 30(3), 673–695. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2022.68>
- Renwick, D. W. S., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). *Green Human Resource Management: A review and research agenda*. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00328.x>
- Saeed, A., Rasheed, F., Waseem, M., & Tabash, M. I. (2022). *Green human resource management and environmental performance: The role of green supply chain management practices*. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 29(9), 2881–2899.
- Sattar Mahar, A., Zhang, Y., Sadiq, B., & Gul, R. F. (2025). *Sustainability transformation through green supply chain management practices and green innovations in Pakistan's manufacturing and service industries*. *Sustainability*, 17(5), 2204.
- Wehrmeyer, W. (1996). *Greening People: Human Resources and Environmental Management*. Greenleaf Publishing.

### Authors' Bibliography

My name is Ganjar Nugraha. I was born in Sumedang, November 13, 1989. I graduated with a Bachelor of Psychology from Jenderal Achmad Yani University in 2014. I am currently continuing my education by taking a Master of Management at Widyatama University. The research I took is about THE INFLUENCE OF GREEN HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ON ORGANIZATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE IN INDONESIA'S MANUFACTURING SECTOR